Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/854,648	DONNAN, AMY J.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dmitry Suhol	3725
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>	
(1) Dmitry Suhol.	(3)	
(2) <u>Paul Parins</u> .	(4)	•
Date of Interview: <u>18 July 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: All rejections as listed in the previous office action.		
Claims discussed: 1, 11, 12, 13, 19		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
1) hulest		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested addind limitations of a container being a heart shaped plush pillow and playpieces having a bean bag construction in order to differentiate applicants invention from prior know art such as talking Carebears, etc. Furthermore, the examiner agreed to withdraw the all 112 rejections in view of applicants arguments that the claims are merely broad and not indefinite since they can be understood when read in light of the specification.